

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/786,854	02/24/2004	William Pippin	073024-001	3632
29391	7590 06/29/2005		EXAM	INER
	ROWNLEE WOLTER	LAXTON,	LAXTON, GARY L	
SUITE 2500	390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE SUITE 2500		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ORLANDO,	FL 32801		2838	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/786,854	PIPPIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary L. Laxton	2838				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 2004 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/786,854

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally <u>limited to a single paragraph</u> on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/786,854 Page 3

Art Unit: 2838

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the AC voltage waveform" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is unclear if the applicant means the input waveform or the output waveform or some other waveform. Claims 2-7 inherit the same.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the AC current representative waveform" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "the power factor adjustment" in line 2 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claim 4 inherits the same.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the control system" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 5 recites the limitation "the AC power source" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the voltage waveform" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Which voltage waveform?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farrow (US 4,851,635).

Farrow discloses a method of power factor control for a power regulation system connected for supplying electric power to a load; comprising measuring time intervals and identifying values of a magnitude of current and voltage waveforms; determining a time delay between a designated peak of one half cycle of the voltage waveform and a designated peak of a corresponding half cycle of the current waveform wherein the time delay is representative of the power factor of power supplied to the load (figure 3); and adjusting the voltage applied to the load in a manner to bring the power factor towards unity.

However, Farrow does not expressly disclose comparing a magnitude of an AC voltage waveform to a pre-selected magnitude.

Comparing a waveform to a reference waveform is widely known for controlling a parameter of the circuit especially for controlling the switching based on the results of the comparison.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to compare an AC voltage waveform to a reference waveform in order to produce a control parameter to assist in the control of the switching of the circuit as is well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,854 Page 5

Art Unit: 2838

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 2 and 4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior art fails to disclose or suggest, inter alia, a method of power factor control including the step of monitoring peak values of an AC current representative waveform and limiting a power factor adjustment to prevent respective current values from falling below a selected minimum value.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,854 Page 6

Art Unit: 2838

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Laxton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on (571) 272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

= 4/27/05

Art Unit 2838